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Source Exploration on John Prince-Smith’s *Collected works*:

**Headnote**:

Citation for original source: Prince-Smith, John. 1843. *Ueber Handelsfeindseligkeit*. Königsberg: Theile, 87 pages.

The location of the original document I examined is the 1843 publication of Prince-Smith’s “On Hostility to Trade,”originally titled *Ueber Handelsfeindseligkeit* upon initial release out of Königsberg. I know this to be the case because I located and searched the citation of the document from the GHDI website, and found the original publication date, location, and editors - Otto Michaelis and Karl Braun-Wiesbaden, respectively - using the Hathitrust database.[[1]](#footnote-0) Otto Michaelis is a noteworthy figure in his own right.[[2]](#footnote-1) He will be described in the “notable individuals associated with Prince-Smith” section of this paper.

**Biographical Information pertaining to John Prince-Smith**:

John Prince Smith was an English-born Political actor who emigrated to Germany early in life. Born in 1809, he died in 1874. He became one of the major proponents of *economic liberalism[[3]](#footnote-2)* in Germany during the Bismarckian 1860s. While not a famous economic theorist today, he was influential in helping to formulate German economic policy in the mid-19th century. The work of his - that I chose to explore is *On Hostility to Trade*. It is one essay from his collected writings (*Gesammelte Schriften* in German) that were initially published between 1877-80. At age 13 he dropped out of Eton and was forced to work - the result of the death of his father. Relatedly, he was forced to contend with managing his personal finances at a young age. The first work position he was in (based on the sources I examined) was an unspecified position at a “London Commercial firm.”[[4]](#footnote-3) At the age of 21, in 1830, he moved to Hamburg, Germany, before moving to Elbing (a city in modern Poland; eastern Prussia in 1830) and teaching English for nine years.[[5]](#footnote-4) During his time in Elbing, he submitted work to a local newspaper and was successfully published.

**Early Career and political involvement; development of views on economic policy:**

In early publications, Prince-Smith suggested methods for improving the living standards of Germans over time.[[6]](#footnote-5) According to U.S. economic historian W.O. Henderson, the newspaper that Prince-Smith wrote for at this time was called *Elbinger Anzieger.* While not a newspaper of national importance, our subject was able to circulate ideas regarding economic policy that he was developing with increasingly more specific perspectives on issues such as trade.

 The precise time that Prince-Smith left Elbing is disputed *(Wikipedia* cites that he moved in 1840, *Mises.org* claims 1843), but sometime between 1840-43 he moved to Berlin, and in the first half of the decade Prince-Smith became increasingly prolific as both an economic critic/theorist, and as a political actor with a focus on economic policy. In 1843, he published a pamphlet, titled *On Hostility to Trade*, which displayed his - by this time fully materialized - antipathy to tariffs and government regulation of commerce in general. Prussia was enacting increasingly significant protectionist trade policies in the form of maintaining a broad array of tariffs on foreign goods and commodities.

As U.S. Economic Historian W.O. Henderson wrote in 1950:

“In the previous quarter of a century there had been some increases in the Prussian tariff...by the 1840s however, these rates had been considerably increased. The demands of the Rhenish and south German manufacturers had led to increases in important duties - the most important being on pig-iron.”

According to mises.org, *On Hostility to Trade* is considered *historic* - they consider it to be the first article published in Prussia that directly contradicted the economic policies dominating the state at the time Prince Smith argued, that tariffs incurred financial hurt and limitations on Prussia. W.O. Henderson corroborates the mises institute opinion that this essay/pamphlet was historically significant at the time of original publication. He wrote that the work of Prince-Smith “has been neglected by students of free-trade developments on the [european] continent.”

Smith became an eminent political writer after the publication of *On Hostility*. He used his reputation to create and promote organizations that advocated free trade, and the free circulation of ideas - unregulated by government. His essential argument, stated in many of his publications, was that curbing or limiting trade was harmful to the majority of Prussian citizens.

According to Mises.org, the organizations Prince-Smith helped create were called the Scientific Union for Trade and Industry (later changed to the Free Trade Union) and the Economic Society, which was the successor to the free trade Union.[[7]](#footnote-6) Smith was the head of the Economic Society from 1860 until his death.[[8]](#footnote-7) An interesting relationship between his political involvement and proflicism as an author is observable: According to Worldcat, Prince-Smith authored more publications during the 1840s and 1860s than during any other time period during his life: [https://www.worldcat.org/title/problem-of-war/oclc/943659829&referer=brief\_results](https://www.worldcat.org/title/problem-of-war/oclc/943659829%26referer%3Dbrief_results) These are the same two decades during which he organized and led The Free Trade Union and then Economic Trade Society. It seems likely that there is a relationship between his political organizing and productivity as an author.

**Prince-Smith’s other notable contributions to journalism and economic theory in Prussia**:

During 1840s and through 1850 or thereabouts, Smith took a major step forward in his career as a publisher. As mises.org notes: “In order to influence liberal and radical opinion, Prince Smith, along with his friend and fellow free-trader Julius Faucher, collaborated on the Berlin newspaper, the *Demokratische Zeitung* (later the *Abendpost*).” At the time in Prussian history when these newspaper was active, it published positions on economic policy which were antithetical to the prevalent beliefs of government actors on economic policy. In the late 1840s, Prince-Smith and Julius Faucher’s paper was shut down.

After the *Abendpost* was closed down by Prussian censors, Prince-Smith wrote:

 “The purpose of my collaboration on the *Abendpost* has to a great extent been achieved. I have brought respect for the free trade doctrine to the most extreme left. Free trade and bureaucracy, or competition and exploitation no longer count as identical with the party whose absurd conception of property made it dangerous.”[[9]](#footnote-8)

This quote offers a window into Smith’s essential goal during this time. A goal that began notably with *On Hostility to Trade*, but which he continued with this newspaper and into his later life as well. His goal was to bring to the minds of policymakers his belief that well-intentioned government regulations of the economy were at the time harmful to society.

**Notable Individuals associated with Prince-Smith**:

**Otto Michaelis (1826-1890)**: A German economic theorist, political activist and organizer, newspaper editor, and student of John Prince-Smith’s. Later in his life he was particularly influential in Prussian policy formulation. As the following (translated) passage from his wikipedia page evinces, he was an economic liberal “but not as a free trader, manchestermann and not as dogmatic, but as very pragmatic.”[[10]](#footnote-9) He was the first prominent member of the Progress Party to leave this party for pragmatic reasons, paving the way for the establishment of a National Liberal Party.
In 1868 he was accepted as a corresponding member of the Russian Academy of Sciences in Saint Petersburg.

**W.O. Henderson (1904-1993)**: Economic Historian who stated emphatically in the aforementioned work that he believed Prince-Smith’s essays and organizing had a seminal effect on the (then) nascent economic liberalism that was to develop more fully in the 1860s.

**Karl Braun (1822-1893):** Braun was a German liberal politician and writer. He was an adopter of Prince-Smith’s ideas and referenced Prince-Smith in an 1881 essay, listed below in the annotated bibliography.

**Conclusion:**

John Prince-Smith’s *On Hostility to Trade* essay of 1843 was a seminal pamphlet. Its significance lies in three forms: 1) It presented unprecedented ideas on and critiques of economic policy in Prussia. 2) It was made in a social/political environment in which the power of government was being used to enact measures antithetical to the positions he advocated for. 3) The essay brought him to political prominence and allowed him to organize and lead political groups, which created a solid foundation for promoting economic liberalism in Prussia.
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